

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

348

RSA No.1185 of 1989 (O & M)
Date of Decision:21.03.2018

Tilak Ram and another

...Appellants

Versus

Municipality, Bathinda

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. T.S. Hundal, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent has pointed out that the plaintiffs/appellants have already deposited the arrears of house tax and thereafter, sold the property.

Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in spite of his best efforts, he has not been able to contact his client.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent, the appeal is dismissed as infructuous and granting liberty to the appellants to move an application for recall, if facts are otherwise.

21.03.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No