

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M- 15478-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.09.2018

Naveen Kaushik

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ramender Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.P.P.Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Naren Pratap Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.408 dated 05.08.2015 (Annexure P/1) registered under Sections 498-A, 323, 377, 506 & 34 of Indian Penal Code (later on after investigation Section 377 was omitted in the FIR) at Police Station Dadri Sadar, District Charkhi Dadri and all proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 20.03.2018 (Annexure P/2).

The aforesaid FIR was lodged on the statement of complainant-respondent No.2, on the allegations that the accused-petitioner harassed, tortured, abused, threatened to kill and gave beatings to the complainant-respondent No.2. Now, with the intervention of respectable persons, the

matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report dated 17.07.2018 has been received from Chief Judicial Magistrate-cum-Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.) Charkhi Dadri through District and Sessions Judge, Bhiwani, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.408 dated 05.08.2015 (Annexure P/1) registered under Sections 498-A, 323, 377, 506 & 34 of Indian Penal Code (later on after investigation Section 377 was omitted in the FIR) and all proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

September 04, 2018

seema

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No