

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.2252 of 1992

Date of Decision : 22.05.2018

Satnam Singh

.....Appellant

Versus

Rajinder Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Premjit Kalia, Advocate for respondent No.1.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The plaintiff is in appeal against the judgment and decree dated 15.10.1992, whereby his suit had since been dismissed by the first appellate Court.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that despite repeated communications neither the parties nor anyone on their behalf have contacted the respective offices for the past several years, and thus it appears, that with efflux of time, the matter is rendered infructuous.

That being so, the appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, if any, dispute, interest or cause of action still survives, the appellant shall be at liberty to move the necessary application for it's restoration and decision on merits.

22.05.2018

Manoj Bhutani

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No