

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

117

CRM-M-15456 of 2018

Date of decision:30.5.2018

Anam Bi and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Saleem Ahmed, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr.Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners having reconciled the matter with respondents no.3 to 8, they no longer wish to pursue this petition, which has been rendered infructuous.

Learned State counsel, however, states that as per enquiry conducted, petitioner no.1 is a minor and in fact an FIR has been registered in Uttar Pradesh, alleging therein the commission of various offences.

Without making any comment whatsoever with regard to what has been contended by learned counsel for the State on instructions, learned counsel for the petitioners submitting that the petitioners no longer need protection, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Obviously, any FIR registered in the State of Uttar Pradesh being beyond the jurisdiction of this Court, and such FIR not being subject matter of any proceedings before this Court, it will be dealt with as per law.

It is also made clear that if either of the petitioners is found to

be of less than marriageable age on the basis of valid and legally admissible documents, offences punishable under the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, being cognizable offences in terms of Section 15 of the said Act, appropriate action would be taken by the appropriate authorities.

30.5.2018
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No