

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.15334 of 2018

Date of Decision: 03.10.2018

Nirmal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Salil Dev Singh Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.
Mr. S.P. Soi, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and this fact has been affirmed by learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Raj.

However, learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner keeping in view the role of the petitioner.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and has co-operated with the Investigating Agency; all allegations are based on the documents which are in possession of the Company or with the Investigating Agency; even the payment was released through cheque and nothing is to be recovered from him, the interim order dated 18.04.2018 passed in his favour is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

Moreover, the complainant is having remedy, in case, the amount has not been received or cheque has not been encashed.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

03.10.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No