

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Crl. Misc. No. M-15318 of 2018

Date of Decision: 4.10.2018

Ranjodh Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 191 dated 6.12.2017 registered at Police Station Morinda, District Roopnagar under Sections 354 IPC.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is in custody since 27.2.2018 and the FIR has been lodged with respect to an incident which occurred in 2015. The counsel further submits that there was a property dispute after the petitioner's son had died and a suit was filed and the litigation is pending. Counsel also submits that the statement of the prosecutrix has also been recorded and the complainant is living separately and not in his house and the trial would take time and the allegations are under Section 354 IPC.

The case had been adjourned on the last date of hearing to enable the prosecution to record the statement of the prosecutrix. Statement

of the prosecutrix has been recorded. This fact is not disputed by the State counsel. The trial would take time.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

October 04, 2018
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No