

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15238-2018

Date of Decision: 26.04.2018

Sakir alias Sakru

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that three persons were accused in the FIR, of having committed offences punishable under the Cow Slaughter Act, 1960 and the Prevention of Cruelty to Animal Act 1960, with one Mubarik, (to whom the vehicle in question belonged), having admitted to his guilt and having been sentenced to imprisonment for the period already undergone by him, but with the other co-accused of the petitioner, i.e. one Jamshed, having been acquitted.

On specific query he submits that the petitioner was not a co-owner of the vehicle in question along with Mubarik.

Even so, seeing that the petitioner has been declared to be a Proclaimed Offender, I see no reason to entertain this petition seeking anticipatory bail, which is consequently, dismissed.

However, upon the petitioner surrendering before the trial Court and filing an application/petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., that petition would be

taken up and decided by the trial Court very expeditiously, keeping in view the fact that one person has already been acquitted and another person has admitted to his guilt and has been accordingly been sentenced by the competent Court.

April 26, 2018
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No.