

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.15235 of 2018
Date of Decision: 18.04.2018

Rajwant Singh and others

....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Randhawa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to the respondents in respect of pending investigation of FIR No.02 dated 06.01.2018 lodged by petitioner No.1 under Section 4, 6 of Punjab Prevention of Damage to Public and Private Property Act, 2014, Section 3(1) (g) of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (Amendment 2015) and Section 427 IPC at Police Station Rangar Nangal, Police District Batala.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that even despite registration of aforesaid FIR, police has unnecessarily acted in connivance with the accused and is calling the petitioners time and again in the police station.

Reference is being made to the call details and the CCTV coverage. Specific allegations have been made in paras no.7 and 8 of the petition.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioners have already filed a representation to respondent No.3 on 20.03.2018.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Sharma, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, respondent No.3 can be asked to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioners and decide the representation in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

Disposed of.

18.04.2018

jyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No