109

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.15209 of 2018
Date of Decision: 03.05.2018

Bawa Singh

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present: Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

****

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents No.1 to 3 to take necessary action against respondent No.4 who has duped many persons in the business of sending people abroad.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioner has filed a representation before respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to supplement the representation filed by him on 23.02.2016 by way of proper complaint to Incharge/S.H.O., concerned Police Station in Mohali.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G.,

Jyoti Yadav
2018.05.04 12:06
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, respondent No.3 is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner. In case, any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, in such eventuality, S.H.O. concerned can be asked to act in accordance with law. If no such cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then the petitioner would be informed as per the parameters laid down in ***Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).***

Disposed of.

03.05.2018 **(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**
Jyoti **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

Jyoti Yadav
2018.05.04 12:06
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document