

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14211-2017
Date of Decision: 16.08.2018**

Jagjeevan Singh alias Jivan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. L. S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in Criminal Complaint No. COMA/13/2015/04.04.2015, titled as **“Bagga Singh vs. Ravinder Singh another”**, filed under Section 3(1)(10) of the SC/ST Act, pending in the Court of Ms. Parvinder Kaur, JMIC, Tarn Taran.

On 27.04.2017, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the basis of the complaint itself is not founded in fact because the question of any cordial relation between the petitioner and the respondent-complainant existing is falsified from the fact that there was earlier also litigation between them, with the petitioners' father having instituted a criminal complaint against respondent no.2, i.e. the complainant in the present lis. That matter was compromised on

07.01.2015 and therefore it would not be believable that the respondent-complainant would have handed over Rs.14 lacs to the petitioners' father, leading thereafter to the incident that is contended to have taken place.

Notice of motion, returnable on 05.07.2017.

Dasti also.

Till the next date of hearing only, the proceedings before the learned trial Court shall remain stayed.”

The aforesaid interim order continued till 02.05.2018 when the petitioner was directed to appear before the trial Court and the trial Court was directed to release the petitioner on interim bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance with the order dated 02.05.2018, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and he has been granted interim bail.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 /complainant has not disputed the aforesaid fact.

In view of above, this petition is disposed of and order dated 02.05.2018 is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438, Sub Section (2) Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

August 16, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*