

133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.15051 of 2018  
Date of Decision: 11.04.2018

Baby and another

....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present: Petitioners in person along with  
Mr. R.K. Saini, Advocate.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)**

Petitioners have preferred this petition for issuance of necessary directions to official respondents No.1 to 3 in the context of protecting their lives and personal liberties from being invaded by private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that husband of petitioner No.1 died on 22.03.2014 and wife of petitioner No.2 died on 23.04.2016. Thereafter, in view of individual status of the petitioners, they got solemnized the marriage. Children born out of wedlock of petitioner No.1 with her previous husband are living with their grand parents. Children born out to petitioner No.2 from his eariler marriage are living with the present petitioners.

Petitioners claimed themselves to be major and have contracted marriage on 09.04.2018 according to their own sweet will, but against the wishes of their family members. Marriage certificate (Annexure P3) and photographs of marriage (Annexure P4) are sought to be pressed into service in order to project validity of marriage.

In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents, petitioners have prayed for necessary directions for safeguarding their personal civil and legal rights. In the aforesaid context, petitioners have allegedly moved representation dated 09.04.2018 (Annexure P5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala and SHO, Police Station Dhanaula, District Barnala.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. G.G. Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this juncture, this Court is not in a position to comment upon the validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners in terms of their respective ages, however, respondent No.2 can be asked to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioners in order to ascertain veracity of allegations made by the petitioners. Respondent No.2 would be

at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts in issue. In case respondent No.2 finds that there is some truth in the allegations of the petitioners, then he/she shall proceed to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

The aforesaid order is being passed at this stage without meaning anything on the merits of the case. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any observation made by the Court in terms of pleadings at this stage. This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the party in accordance with law in case they are involved in some other case. It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioners is decided within a period of one month from today keeping in view the threat perception shown by the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

11.04.2018

*Prince*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No