

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15035-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:03.05.2018

Rajiv Kumar Chug @ Rajiv Kumar Chugh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Petitioner seeks concession of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.13, dated 22.02.2013, under Sections 406/420 IPC, registered at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar City.

Pleadings on record would indicate that the petitioner had been granted concession of bail but having not appeared before the trial Court, concession of bail has been cancelled.

It has gone uncontroverted that petitioner did not appear before the trial Court on as many as 17 occasions.

On the last date of hearing i.e. on 17.04.2018, counsel representing the petitioner had advanced a submission that funds are being arranged so as to settle the dispute and for such purpose deferment of proceedings for a period of 10 days was sought.

Prayer was granted and matter was adjourned to today.

Against a total dispute of Rs.62 lakhs approximately, a demand draft of Rs.3 lakhs is sought to be furnished.

This Court is convinced that petitioner is attempting to play a game to buy time.

Conduct of the petitioner as noticed herein above dis-entitles him from the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Petition is dismissed.

03.05.2018

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |