

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

R.S.A.No.1413 of 1992 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 28, 2018

Harbhajan Lal

...Appellant

Versus

Hans Raj & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Harbhajan Lal appellant in person.

Ms. Nitika Jaura, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate with
Mr.Hans Raj respondent No.1 in person.

AMIT RAWAL, J.

Appellant Harbhajan Lal son of Sohna Ram and respondent No.1 Hans Raj son of Jammu Ram son of Sohna Ram are present in Court in person. They have given their Adhar Cards, photo copies of which are taken on record. Legal heirs of Sohna Ram respondent No.3 were also impleaded vide order dated 09.01.1995. One of the legal heirs Harbhajan Lal son of Sohna Ram is also proforma respondent No.3 in RSA No.1343 of 1992. His sisters have also died. They submitted that the parties to the lis have already arrived at a compromise in Civil Suit No.80 dated 1.2.1994 decided on 23.5.1994 with regard to the entire property/estate of appellant Sohna Ram (since deceased) represented by legal heirs. The aforementioned compromise pertains to the entire property reflected therein, which is subject matter in the present Regular Second Appeal and urges this Court that the matter may be disposed of in terms of the compromise.

Suit of Hans Raj son of Jammu Ram son of Sohna Ram has been decreed as per the compromise, copy of the judgment and decree dated 23.05.1994, which has been taken on record in RSA No.1343 of 1992. The suit property involved in the aforementioned compromise and as well as the present case is the same, therefore, no further cause of action arises.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

September 28, 2018
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No