

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

R.S.A.No.1343 of 1992 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 28, 2018

Sohna Ram (since deceased) through L.Rs

...Appellants

Versus

Hans Raj & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Akshit Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Ms. Nitika Jaura, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate with
Mr.Hans Raj respondent No.1 in person.

Mr. Harbhajan Lal respondent No.3 in person.

AMIT RAWAL, J.

Respondent No.1 Hans Raj son of Jammu Ram son of Sohna Ram and respondent No.3 Harbhajan Lal son of Sohna Ram are present in Court in person. They have given their Adhar Cards, photo copies of which are taken on record. They submitted that the parties to the lis have already arrived at a compromise in Civil Suit No.80 dated 1.2.1994 decided on 23.5.1994 with regard to the entire property/estate of appellant Sohna Ram (since deceased) represented by legal heirs. The aforementioned compromise pertains to the entire property reflected therein, which is subject matter in the present Regular Second Appeal and urges this Court that the matter may be disposed of in terms of the compromise.

Suit of Hans Raj son of Jammu Ram son of Sohna Ram has

been decreed as per the compromise, which has been taken on record. The suit property involved in the aforementioned compromise and as well as the present case is the same, therefore, no further cause of action arises.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

September 28, 2018

ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No

Yes/No