

CRM-M-14978-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14978-2018

Date of Decision:13.08.2018

Amit Mangla

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.631 dated 23.11.2017, registered at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula, under Sections 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 7, 8, 10, 12 and 13(1) (a) (e) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

CRM-M-14978-2018

As per the allegations in the FIR, co-accused, Vikas Saini, who was an employee of HUDA, used to talk with so many private persons and take money for giving them some information or doing their work. The present petitioner also talked with Vikas Saini and offered some money to him. The present petitioner is not a public servant.

In pursuance of the interim order dated 16.05.2018 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation. He is not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from him. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending him to custody. Moreover, Vikas Saini, who is the main accused, has already been granted the benefit of regular bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The order dated 16.05.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

13.08.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No