

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-14976 of 2018

Date of decision: April 17, 2018

Feroz Shah

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Arun Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail in a case registered against the petitioner under Sections 406, 420 IPC read with Section 66-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000 at Police Station City Ballabhgarh, District Faridabad, vide FIR No.119 dated 23.01.2018.

It has been contended that no money was deposited in the account of the petitioner. He has been falsely implicated by the police. There is no other case pending against him. Thus, he is entitled to concession of pre-arrest bail.

Prayer has been opposed by the State counsel. According to him, name of the petitioner is figured in the disclosure statement of four accused, who were arrested. Plea of the investigating agency is that he is kingpin of the entire racket. Thus, his custodial interrogation is required.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

It appears that the investigating agency unearthed the racket, wherein innocent people were allured to secure job(s) in Singapore and elsewhere. One complaint was lodged by Satinder Kumar, who deposited total amount of Rs.5,30,000/- in different accounts. The calls were made to various persons from a call-centre said to have been opened by one Jatin and the present petitioner.

Keeping in view nature of allegations, this court is of the considered view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary to take the investigation to its logical end. No case for pre-arrest bail is made out.

Dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

April 17, 2018
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No