

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13923-2017

Date of decision : 27.08.2018

Urmila Tomar

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Manish Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

None for the complainant/respondent No.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has joined investigation and recovery was effected.

Learned State counsel informs that the articles which were shown in the first list and some of the household articles have been returned and subsequently the complainant's side has given another list and no recovery has been effected in respect of the other list. It appears that the complainant disputes the articles. She can raise the issue before the lower Court.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 25.04.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject

to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

27.08.2018
ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No