

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14787-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.08.2018

Naunihal Singh and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.35 dated 22.04.2017 under Sections 307, 336, 324, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act entered in FIR No.77 dated 22.04.2017 under Sections 307, 452, 323, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur.

On 23.04.2018, following order was passed by this Court: -

“Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that it is a case of version and cross-version filed in Rapat No.35 dated 22.04.2017 under Sections 307, 336, 324, 148, 149 IPC and 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act entered in FIR No.77 dated 22.04.2017 registered under Sections 307, 452, 323, 148, 149 IPC and 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act at Police Station Sadar, Ferozepur. It is further submitted that Sections 307 and 452 have already been deleted.

Counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that even in the cross-version i.e. FIR No.77 dated 22.04.2017, the anticipatory bail granted to the accused persons vide order dated

13.11.2017 and 17.11.2017 in CRM-M Nos.42693 and 43688 of 2017, has been made absolute.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh, has not disputed the fact that Sections 307 and 324 stands deleted in the present FIR. It is further submitted that the petitioners be directed to join investigation.

List again on 07.08.2018.

In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 02.05.2018 at 10:00 am or on any other date or time fixed by him to join investigation and they shall be released on interim bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. They shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. They shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and*
- 3. They shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ashwani Kumar, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 23.04.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

07.08.2018
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No