

Beant Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner

-.-

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking directions to the official respondents to take legal action against respondents No. 5 to 10 and their associates.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let five copies of petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

The allegations are that there is a dispute regarding property between the petitioner and the private respondents. The trial Court as well as the first Appellate Court have passed judgments in favour of the petitioner and in the Regular Second Appeal status quo regarding the possession of the land has been passed by this Court. The petitioner urges that she is in possession and always been in possession and the private respondents are trying to misuse the order of the status quo. She alleges that the private respondents have tried to trespass upon the property on number of occasions, regarding which representations (Annexure P-2, P-4 and P-5) had been made alongwith photographs but the official respondents have not taken any action thereupon.

In view of the nature of order that is being passed there is no necessity

to issue notice to the private respondents at this stage.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 4 to look into the representation dated 22.12.2017 (Annexure P-5) and conduct an enquiry. In case any truth is found in the allegations made in the said representation necessary action in accordance with law be taken within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

January 16, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No