

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14755-2018

Decided on: 30.05.2018

Subhash Chand

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Nikunj, Advocate, for
Mr. M.S. Khillan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Mr. Dilpreet S. Takkar, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondent No.7 by filing vakalatnama, which be taken on record.

Reply on behalf of official respondents filed.

Learned State counsel submits that as mentioned in para No.2 of the preliminary submissions of the reply, the SHO concerned had sent the present complaint before Chairman, District Board for medical negligence, Civil Surgeon, Karnal through Superintendent of Police, Karnal for taking further necessary action in the matter and the complaint has been consigned to the record for the reason that no negligence was there.

Resultantly, the petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn as having been rendered infructuous.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

30.05.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No