

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14736-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-09.07.2018.

Sunita Soni

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Parshant Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate for the complainant.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.726 dated 08.11.2017 under Sections 323/420/406/467/468/471/506/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Hansi, District Hisar.

2.) This Court vide order dated 09.04.2018 had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of arrest the Arresting/Investigating Officer, on doing so, she was ordered to be released on interim bail on her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer.

3.) Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Dharam Pal

states that in pursuance to the order dated 09.04.2018, petitioner appeared

before the Arresting/Investigating Officer and joined the investigation. That apart respondent has not made out a case for rejection of the petition. Even the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation in the present case.

4.) In view of these facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 09.04.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. Petitioner shall remain bound by the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C.

5.) The petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and she shall not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair trial.

6.) The petition stands allowed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

July 09, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.