

363

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**R.S.A. No.182 of 1993(O&M)
Decided on: 08.02.2018**

Puran Singh etc.**.....Appellant****versus****Nidhan Singh etc.****.....Respondent****Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT****Present: None**

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 25.01.2018, notice was sent to the learned counsel for the respondents. However, learned counsel has informed the Registry that brief of this case is not found in his office record. Resultantly, there is no representation on behalf of the respondents. On the last date, the appellants were represented by the proxy counsel for the learned counsel for the appellants. However, today none has appeared on behalf of the appellants also.

The case pertains to a piece of land regarding which the respondent/plaintiff had sought a declaration that he had become owner of the property by efflux of time. Still further, in the suit, the possession of the suit land was also sought by the respondent. The title was claimed by the respondent on the basis of the mortgage deed, on the ground that time of redemption had expired. Both the Courts below had decided the case in favour of the respondent/plaintiff. However, this Court had granted stay against execution vide order dated 06.05.1993. The interim order was continued at the time of admission on 06.12.1993. Resultantly, the

possession of the land had remained with the original owner.

It appears that in view of the above facts, with the lapse of time, the parties have lost their interest in this case. Hence, there has been no representation by either of the parties.

Dismissed as not pressed.

8th February, 2018
shabha

[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - *Yes*
Whether reportable - *No*