

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.14526 of 2018
Date of Decision: 20.04.2018**

Vidyanand Ji

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to official respondents in the context of his representation dated 26.02.2018 submitted to the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar with a copy to SHO, Police Station Khijrabad.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. S.P. Singh, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let requisite copies of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon any reply from the

respondent/State as no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything on merits of the case, respondent No.2 can be directed to have a fair look on the representation filed by the petitioner. If any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then in such an eventuality, respondent No.3 can be asked to act in accordance with law. If no cognizable offence is found to have been committed by the private respondents, then the complainant/petitioner be informed as per parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 20, 2018

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No