

Sr.No.205
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH
CWP NO.4154-1997(O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.04.2018

Gram Panchayat village AndianwaliPetitioner

versus

Commissioner Ferozepur and othersRespondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present Mr.Peeyush Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHESH GROVER, J(ORAL)

The petitioner impugns the order of the Commissioner dated 01.02.1996 (Annexure P-3).

In proceedings under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands Act, 1961, respondents were held to be in legitimate possession as the land had been given to them on lease on Chakota for ₹775/- upon a resolution of the Gram Panchayat. The jamabandis established this land to be *Jumla Mushtarkha Malkan*.

Aggrieved by the finding of the Collector, an appeal was preferred before the Commissioner who recorded that the land did not vest in the Gram Panchayat and was rather *Bachat* area after exhausting the consolidation scheme.

The petitioner has been unable to show any material that findings recorded by the Commissioner is incorrect. Neither the Consolidation scheme nor any other material has been produced on record. Even otherwise, as per the best case of the Gram Panchayat considering that they are relying on the order of the Collector, the land as per jamabandi is shown to be *Jumla Mushtarkha Malkan*.

CWP NO.4154-1997(O&M) -2-

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

03.04.2018 **(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)**
mamta **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No