

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

271

**CRM-M-13497-2017 (O&M)
Decided on : 16.10.2018**

Ranbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

. ..Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Manjul Rana, Advocate for
Mr. R.S.Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana..

None for respondents No.2.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

CRM-36739-2018

This is an application for placing on record counter affidavit and Annexure P-5 and exemption from filing certified copy of Annexure P-5. Heard. In view of the reasons mentioned in the application and affidavit, same is allowed.

Counter affidavit along with Annexure P-5 are taken on record.

Main case.

In the instant petition, petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.340 dated 05.09.2016 under Sections 323, 427, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, District Sonapat and consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that present FIR is an outcome of sudden quarrel between the parties and in fit of anger. Now the

matter has been resolved and sought for quashing of FIR in view of compromise.

3. This Court vide order dated 17.02.2018 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonipat has sent his report dated 16.03.2018 wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded and compromise appears to be genuine.

4. In view of the law laid down in “*Anita Maria Dias and another versus State of Maharashtra and another*” (2018) 3 SCC 290, no useful purpose would be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.340 dated 05.09.2016 under Sections 323, 427, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, District Sonapat and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner subject to payment of litigation cost of Rs.5000/- by the petitioner to State of Haryana. Cost shall be remitted in the District Treasury, District Sonapat, State of Haryana within a period of 3 months from today.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

16.10.2018

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No