

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.14301 of 2018

Date of Decision: 17.07.2018

Abdul Ajij and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mohammad Arshad, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and in another case i.e FIR No.77 dated 03.03.2018 registered under Sections 379 and 188 of IPC at Police Station Ferozepur Jhirka, they have been released on anticipatory bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Mewat vide order dated 23.04.2018, whereas, learned State counsel submits that the recovery of tractor and trolley has not been effected.

A perusal of allegations levelled in the FIR shows that nowhere, the tractor number is mentioned. However, learned counsel has stated that subsequently the tractor number was mentioned in the disclosure statement but petitioner is not the owner of the tractor and trolley. The same belongs to some other person. Even the statement of those persons, who were owners of tractor and trollies, have not been recorded.

In view of the above, the interim direction issued by this Court

on 06.04.2018 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, the petitioners are found to be involved in any other case of similar nature, the State is at liberty to move an application for cancellation of their bail.

17.07.2018
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No