

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

RSA No.2323 of 1990
Date of Decision:12.02.2018

Krishni

...Appellant

Versus

Sadhu Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. H.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Plaintiff-appellant is in the regular second appeal against the concurrent finding of fact arrived at by the Courts below.

Both the Courts have found that the defendant is a tenant on the land in dispute. The Courts have held that the plaintiff is owner, however, for evicting a tenant, plaintiff-appellant would have to file a petition before the revenue authorities.

In the considered opinion of this Court, there is no error in the findings given by the Courts below.

Sitting tenants on the agricultural land can only be evicted in accordance with the provisions of Punjab Tenancy Act and Punjab Security of Land Tenure Act, 1953.

Learned counsel for the appellant did not dispute this fact.

In view thereof, there is no scope for interference.

This regular second appeal is dismissed.

12.02.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No