

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M- 14211 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 20.04.2018**

Naresh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 286 dated 22.09.2017, registered under Sections 304-B, 498-A, 511 IPC (Section 511 IPC was removed and Sections 406 & 120-B IPC were added later on), Police Station Furukh Nagar, District Gurugram.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that in the first statement recorded by the Medical Officer, Pooja had made a statement that it was an accident and in the second statement, she gives a different version but does say that she had herself poured kerosene oil upon herself and the case would not come within the ambit of Section 304-B IPC. The counsel further submits that charge has also been framed under Section 304-B IPC and the petitioner is in custody since October 2017.

The State counsel says that the signatures of the Medical Officer do not appear in the statement allegedly given to a Medical Officer in the hospital. The counsel further submits that the FIR was lodged on the statement of Pooja and she had stated that there was a demand and her aunt had paid Rs.50,000/- and the petitioner had extra marital relations, which came to the notice of the girl and the death had occurred within a year of the marriage.

Considering the seriousness of the allegations, I am not inclined to grant bail. The petition is dismissed.

20.04.2018

sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No