

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.1417 of 2018
Date of Decision : 23.05.2018**

Parveen Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Ms. Manjot Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State assisted by
ASI Pawan Kumar.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that admittedly her client was not arrested from the spot nor any direct recovery was made from him, and that he has been implicated only on the basis of the disclosure statement of a co-accused which is inadmissible.

Ld. Counsel for the State however opposes the bail prayer by submitting that the petitioner was also involved in a separate case under the NDPS Act being FIR No.505, dated 20th October, 2015 of Police Station Thanesar Sadar, to which Ld.

Counsel for the petitioner replies that the petitioner is already on bail in that case and the contraband allegedly recovered from him in the said case was of non-commercial quantity.

In view of the above-mentioned circumstances, custodial interrogation of the petitioner in the present case at this stage is not justified.

Consequently, the interim bail granted to the present petitioner earlier is hereby confirmed.

Disposed off.

May 23, 2018
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No