

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13245-2017

Decided on: 26.09.2018

Rajina

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Rajina-petitioner in person, with
Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate,

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 filed.

Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate has filed vakalatnama on behalf of the
petitioner-Rajina, which be taken on record.

On being enquired, petitioner states that she does not want to proceed
further with the present petition.

Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate representing the petitioner earlier and Shri
S.S. Behl, Advocate who has filed vakalatnama on her behalf now state that an
appropriate order be passed in view of the statement made by Rajina-petitioner,
who is present in the Court whom they identify.

As such, in view of the statement of the petitioner, the present
petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

26.09.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No