

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.14092 of 2018
Date of Decision: 05.04.2018

Sultan Singh

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting his life and personal liberties from being invaded at the hands of respondents No.10 to 24.

Petitioner alleges some incriminating allegations against the private respondents in the context of not allowing the petitioner to work as labour contractor despite lawful tender released in his favour.

Precisely for the grievance in question, the petitioner has already moved a complaint/representation to the Deputy Commissioner, District Sangrur, Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur and SDM, Lehragaga, District Sangrur.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 8.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without embarking upon merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the complaint/representation of the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

05.04.2018

kyoti

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No