

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13992-2018
Date of decision: 17.04.2018**

Mohit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 61 dated 10.02.2018, under Sections 323, 354-B, 379-B and 506 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations as set out in the FIR are not sustainable on account of the fact that there are material discrepancies in the complaint moved by the complainant and the statement got recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. It is further contended that in fact complainant Poonam herself is an extortionist as would be relevant in FIR No. 59 dated 10.02.2017, registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak. Counsel for the petitioner submitxs that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and he is in custody since 10.02.2018. It is also submitted that investigation is complete and the challan has been presented.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana, has appeared on behalf

of respondent-State and contested the bail application. She opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner by submitting that the offences are of serious nature.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner is in custody since 10.02.2018 and the trial is likely to take some time, no useful purpose would be served in keeping him in custody furthermore. Hence, the present petition is accepted. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, any opinion expressed herein is for the purpose of grant of regular bail and not an opinion on the merits of the case.

17.04.2018

Waseem Ansari

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*