

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.13926 of 2018
Date of Decision: 04.04.2018

Ravi Gakhar

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has preferred this petition for issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents in the context of threats given to the petitioner by the private respondents even after registration of FIR No.57 dated 27.03.2018 under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149 and 506 IPC at Police Station Mataur, District S.A.S. Nagar.

Allegation of trespass has been made against private respondents No.5 to 8. Respondent No.8 was married to brother of the petitioner namely Rajesh Kumar about 06 years ago. It has been alleged that private respondents No.5,6,9 and 10 used to interfere in the family affairs of the petitioner. Respondents No.5 and 6 had solemnized run away marriage and they had

taken some protection from the Court against respondents No.9 and 10 whereas respondent No.8 was married to brother of the petitioner by way of arrange marriage.

Further allegations are to the effect that respondent No.5 was involved in sending obscene videos on WhatsApp number of sister of the petitioner. Respondent No.10 supported respondents No.5 and 6 and they started misbehaving and provoking respondent No.8 to start living separately from brother of the petitioner.

In the entire context, the petitioner is alleged to have made a representation/complaint to the police but the police despite registration of original FIR has not investigated the threat perception received by the petitioner in the aforesaid manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without going into the details of allegations, this petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent No.3 to look into the representation/complaint of the petitioner and to decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

04.04.2018

jyoti

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No