

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-47-1996 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.05.2018
... Petitioner

HC Ved Parkash

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayuwan Singh, AAG, Haryana.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Even on the last date of hearing i.e. on 11.05.2018, no representation was caused on behalf of the petitioner. Under such circumstances, this Court is proceeding further in the matter.

Challenge in the instant petition was to the Notice dated 12.09.1995 (Annexure P-1), whereby the petitioner while serving on the post of Head Constable under Haryana Police was sought to be pre-maturely retired upon attaining the age of 55 years.

Writ petition was admitted by a Division Bench of this Court on 22.02.1996 and operation of the impugned Notice dated 12.09.1995 (Annexure P-1) was stayed.

Apparently, State of Haryana filed an application seeking recalling of the stay order dated 22.02.1996 but the same was dismissed on 05.07.1996.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from Constable Mehboob Ali, O/o Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar informs the

Court that by virtue of the interim directions passed by this Court, petitioner continued in service upto his attaining the normal age of superannuation. Furthermore, all the admissible retiral benefits by reckoning the petitioner's service upto the normal age of superannuation are stated to have already been released to him.

Under such circumstances, nothing survives for adjudication in the instant writ petition and the same is disposed of as infructuous.

18.05.2018

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |