

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1377 of 2018
Date of Decision: 19.03.2018

Mithun Sharma @ MithuPetitioner
Vs.

State of HaryanaRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr.Salil Dev Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the State that report regarding communication on the petitioner's mobile phone is still awaited from the DITAC, Gurugram.

In the meantime, investigation in the case has already been completed and after submission of challan even the charges have been framed.

As such, there is no justification to keep the petitioner behind the bars at this stage. Consequently, the order of interim bail passed earlier is, hereby, confirmed.

Needless to mention here that State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail at an appropriate stage in the event of any tangible/serious material emerging against the petitioner.

Disposed off.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

19.03.2018
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No