

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-13734 of 2018
Date of Decision: April 04, 2018

Sandeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Tarun Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect her life and liberty from respondents No. 4 and 5, who are her father and brother, respectively.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of order that is being passed there is no necessity to issue notice to respondents No. 4 and 5 at this stage.

The petitioner is alleged to have made a representation dated 12.03.2018 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No. 2 but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No. 2 to conduct an enquiry regarding the allegations made in the representation dated 12.03.2018 (Annexure P-2) and to associate the petitioner therewith and to take necessary action in accordance with

law, if deemed necessary within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

April 04, 2018
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No