

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1369-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 06.08.2018**

Parminder Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Petitioner in person
 Mr. K.S. Saini, Advocate.

 Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

 Respondent no.2 in person with
 Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh, Advocate.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

On the last date a settlement was effected and the petitioner and respondent no.2 had decided to resume cohabitation and live together in the matrimonial home, however, they have again parted ways. There are no chances of settlement.

There is a dispute with regard to gold ornaments. The trial Court will dealt with this issue after examining the evidence.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 16.01.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

August 06, 2018

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No