

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

131

CRM-M No.13671 of 2018

Date of Decision: April 4th, 2018

Sunny Khosla @ Pidda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had been appearing in person before the trial Court in FIR No.77 dated 28.04.2017 registered under Section 21/61/85 NDPS Act, Police Station Division No.1, District Jalandhar Commissionerate.

Petitioner was granted bail in the case but on 15.02.2018, when the date was fixed for appearance of the petitioner, he could not come present there as he was arrested in FIR No.13 of 2018 registered under Section 307, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC. He contends that the petitioner could not inform the Court also about he being in custody on the date fixed i.e. 15.02.2018. Because of his absence, the bail order was cancelled and his bail and surety bonds were cancelled and forfeited to the State. Now the petitioner has been granted bail by the trial Court on 17.03.2018. He states that the petitioner did not have any intention to absent himself from the Court on the date fixed i.e. 15.02.2018. The next date of hearing before the trial Court is 06.04.2018, on which date he would appear before the trial Court.

In case the facts as stated by counsel for the petitioner are found to be correct, on an application to be moved by the petitioner for grant of bail on his appearance before the trial Court in FIR No.77 dated 28.04.2017, the said plea shall be accepted and he be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 4th , 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No