

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.13660 of 2018

Date of Decision : 04.10.2018

Manmohan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Perused Case Diary.

2. It is seen that the name of the petitioner has not been mentioned anywhere in the actual complaint made by the complainant. There is very scanty material available to establish his involvement in the alleged offence except that once an amount below Rs.50,000/- was deposited in his account by the complainant four years before the complaint was lodged although similar amounts have been deposited in accounts of several other persons.

3. Considering the available material, the interim bail granted to the petitioner earlier, is hereby, confirmed.

4. Disposed off.

October 04, 2018

Dpr

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No