

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
118**

CRM-M-13579-2018

Date of decision: 02.04.2018

Harish Kumar and another

...PETITIONERS

VS.

State of Punjab and others

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. D.D.Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab,
for the State.

Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate,
for respondents No. 4 and 5.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have approached this Court praying for protection of their life and liberty at the hands of Meghu Ram son of Sita Ram, father of petitioner No. 2, Binder Kaur wife of Meghu Ram, mother of petitioner No. 2 and Gurbinder Singh son of Meghu Ram, brother of petitioner No. 2, respondents No. 4 to 6 respectively.

When the case was initially taken up for hearing, power of attorney had been filed and the same was taken on record. Counsel while putting in appearance and filing his power of attorney had requested that respondents No. 4 and 5 i.e. the father and mother of petitioner No. 2 wanted to interact with petitioner No. 2-Sumandeep Kaur, which was

allowed and they had interacted with petitioner No. 2 in Court for a reasonably long time.

Meghu Ram and Binder Kaur, respondents No. 4 and 5 respectively, state that although they do not agree to the marriage, which has been entered into between petitioners No. 1 and 2 but they undertake not to take any steps, in any manner, which would put the life of the petitioners in danger.

In the light of the statement of respondents No. 4 and 5, who are present in Court, the apprehension of the counsel for the petitioners that there are a large number of people who have come to the Court along with respondents No. 4 and 5 and, therefore, there is any threat to the life of the petitioners, appears to be not correct especially when respondents No. 4 and 5 and their counsel have assured the Court that no harm would be caused to the petitioners by them or the persons, who are accompanying them.

However, keeping in view the fact that a representation dated 29.03.2018 (Annexure P-5) has been submitted by the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala and the SHO, P.S. Sutrana, District Patiala, respondents No. 4 and 5 respectively, a direction is issued to these respondents to look into the said representation and take appropriate steps, in accordance with law, if required.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 02, 2018
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No