

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13542-2018

Date of Decision: 10.05.2018

Maha Singh Tanwar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Surender Singh Dalal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Prahlad Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation. He however submits that the petitioner having been found guilty in the enquiry conducted, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Whereas that may be actually correct, however, considering the fact that the petitioner is stated to have been terminated from service about 01 year prior to the registration of the FIR, with no documentary evidence to be now recovered from him, without making any further comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, which would be wholly gone into by the investigating agency and the competent court at the appropriate stage, on merits, as per evidence gathered/led, the interim order dated 19.04.2018 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

May 10, 2018

nitin/dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes

No.

Nitin
2018.05.14 13:17
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document