

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM M No.13488 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.05.2018.

Raj Dulari and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. J.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Shivender pal Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI J. (ORAL)

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.285 dated 24.11.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 420, 406, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1) and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 27.03.2018 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Randhir Singh HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur (Yamuna Nagar) has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to counsel opposite.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Budhwanti. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise dated 27.03.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 02.04.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sub Division, Bilaspur, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded that the compromise is genuine and valid one which has ex-facie been entered into between the parties with their free volition, without any inducement or threat.

Counsel for the State as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners and respondent No.2 have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed. FIR No.285 dated 24.11.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 420, 406, 120-B

IPC, registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar
(Annexure P-1) and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua
the petitioners.

31.05.2018
rekha sharma

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned
whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No