

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13468-2018

Date of Decision:23.04.2018

Sukhdeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of UT, Chandigarh

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.S.K.Agnihotri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.J.S.Toor, Addl.P.P., UT,Chandigarh assisted by
ASI Ramesh Chand.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though in the order passed on 25.01.2018 in CRM-M-4565-2018, it had been recorded by this Court that there were four witnesses left to be examined, today Mr.Toor, learned Addl.PP, UT, Chandigarh, on instructions from ASI Ramesh Chand, submits that as a matter of fact even today there are 6 witnesses remaining to be examined, 5 of whom are police officials, one of them being a doctor.

The petitioner being the prime accused in the murder of her husband (as per the case of the prosecution), I do not consider it appropriate to admit her to bail at this stage. However, the SSP, Chandigarh, is directed to ensure that all police officials as are to testify before the trial Court duly appear to so testify before that Court on the dates they are summoned, and non-appearance of any police officials, shall be something to be answered for by the SSP.

The Director, Health Services, UT, Chandigarh, is also directed to ensure that the doctor who is testify as a prosecution witness, i.e. Dr.Sanjay Bharwa of the GMCH-32, also duly appears to testify on the date he has been summoned, failing which the Director, Health Services, shall be accountable for non-appearance of the witness.

The trial having gone on for 1 ½ years, even though there were 43 prosecution witnesses to be examined, with 6 such witnesses now remaining, it is directed that it be concluded within a period of 3 months from today.

April 23, 2018

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO