

**CRM-M-13441-2018 and
CRM-M-16348-2018**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:09.08.2018

1. CRM-M-13441-2018

Neeraj Narula and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-16348-2018

Sunita Narula and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Luthra, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-13441-2018, filed by

**CRM-M-13441-2018 and
CRM-M-16348-2018**

filed by petitioners-Sunita Narula and C.M.Narula, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.437 dated 09.11.2016, registered at Police Station Kundli, District Sonipat, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 469, 506, 120-B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

Complainant has also appeared through his counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for complainant submit that the parties have already effected compromise. It is a personal dispute between the parties.

Moreover, learned counsel for complainant submits that he has no objection, if both these petitions are accepted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that the petitioners will abide by the terms of the compromise.

Furthermore, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending them to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details; without

**CRM-M-13441-2018 and
CRM-M-16348-2018**

compromise effected between the parties, I find merit in both these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 04.04.2018 passed in CRM-M-13441-2018 and dated 21.04.2018 passed in CRM-M-16348-2018, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

09.08.2018
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No