

Sr. No.205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-12535 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.02.2018

Vikas

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional A.G., Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.59 dated 10.02.2017, under Sections 147, 149, 341, 384, 386, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak.

FIR came to be registered on the complaint of Pawan Kumar.

Complainant has specifically named the present petitioner as also other co-accused, namely, Poonam, Sonia, Mohit, Nitish and Gunjan.

Allegations pertain to a demand of Rs.10 lacs towards extortion money on the threat to otherwise implicate the

complainant in a false rape case.

As per complainant's version, the present petitioner and Poonam were stated to be the mastermind of the modus operandi. Complainant has further alleged that the present petitioner, namely, Vikas knew him from before and was also aware of his daily routine/schedule.

Learned State counsel has informed the Court that there was a recorded conversation of Vikas i.e. present petitioner with co-accused Mohit and the voice sample of the petitioner had been taken and sent to FSL. Report of FSL has since been received and in which the sample voice of the petitioner has matched with the recorded conversation.

Such development prima facie indicates the complicity of the petitioner in the offence.

In view of the serious allegations and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to extend in favour of the petitioner for concession of pre arrest bail.

Petition dismissed.

21.02.2018

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No