

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13395 of 2018
Date of Decision: 006.07.2018

Pawan Singh & ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: - Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. has been made for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners in case FIR No.88 dated 05.05.2017 registered under Sections 148/149/285/323/447 IPC, deleted Section 285 IPC and added Sections 325/307 IPC and 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Sanoli, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that final report under Section 173 (2) Cr.PC has been submitted in trial Court. Therefore, the petitioners may be granted anticipatory bail.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to appear before the trial Court within two weeks and if they so desire, move application for their bail. The bail application of the petitioners shall be decided within two days. On failing of the petitioners to appear before the trial Court within two weeks, this order shall stand automatically cancelled.

Disposed of.

06.07.2018

Monika Verma
2018.07.06
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No