

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13385-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.08.2018

JAI PARKASH

..Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Lalit Kumar, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(Oral)**

Mediation has failed.

State counsel informs that one gold ring and three silver coins  
were given by the petitioner.

Counsel for respondent no.2 says that there were some more  
items which were not recovered.

No photographs were handed over by the complainant. The  
complainant can approach the appropriate Court for recovery of the items.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on  
the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 02.04.2018  
granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the  
conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of  
the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)  
JUDGE

August 16, 2018

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No