

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13384-2018

Date of Decision: 26.04.2018

Sonu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Pritpal Singh Miglani, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S.Walia, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is alleged to be only a labourer in the truck though has been described as a “conductor” in the FIR.

Though learned State counsel opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that commercial quantity of drugs was recovered jointly from him and the person driving the truck, in view of the fact that he is contended to be only a labourer and definitely is not either the driver or the owner of the truck, he also having been in custody for the past 8 months, with no prosecution witness examined so far, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Disposed of.

April 26, 2018

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO