

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13312-2018 (O&M)

Reserved on 25.9.2018

Pronounced on 28.9.2018

Prince Kaur @ Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Choudhary, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.

By way of filing this petition, the petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against her vide FIR No.243 dated 30.9.2014 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341, 365, 506, 302, 120-B, 342 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25/54 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Ding.

The FIR was registered at the instance of Manmeet Singh, who stated that on 30.9.2014, he alongwith his wife Amandeep Kaur had started for village Bhavdeen in order to go to the house of his Bua (Aunt) on a motorcycle. However, they were waylaid by Jagtar Singh alias Bal Singh, Ram Singh, Karan Singh, Channa, Sonu, Vicky, Gudo, Teepu, Pooja, Rani W/o Mukhtiar Singh and Mukhtiar Singh, who were armed with swords, datars and gandasas and who started beating them, as a result of which both

of them sustained multiple injuries. It is alleged that the aforesaid accused kidnapped wife of the complainant while the complainant managed to save himself by running away. It is alleged that while complainant was running away, Jagtar Singh @ Bal Singh fired from his gun, which somehow hit a transformer. It is further the case of the prosecution that subsequently, the wife of the complainant was killed by the accused as “honour killing”, since the complainant and his wife had married against their wishes.

Notice of this petition was issued to the State.

The learned State counsel has opposed the same.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and that she (Prince Kaur @ Rani W/o Buta Singh) is not even named in the FIR and is not attributed any injury and that since the petitioner has been behind bars since the last more than three years, therefore, the petitioner deserves the concession of bail.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner was part and parcel of the larger conspiracy and that Jagtar Singh alias Bal Singh co-accused had made an extra judicial confession, wherein the name of the present petitioner had also figured and that during investigation it had transpired that all the accused had stayed at the house of the petitioner a day before the occurrence, where the plan was hatched to commit the offence of “honour killing”. It has thus been submitted that no case is made out for grant of bail to the petitioner.

Having considered the rival submissions addressed before this Court and bearing in mind the nature of allegations and also the fact that during investigation, the evidence regarding involvement of the present

petitioner in the entire episode has surfaced, which indicates that the entire conspiracy had been hatched at her residence one day before the occurrence, I do not find any ground for grant of bail to the petitioner. There is no merit in the present petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

28.9.2018

pankaj

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No