

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-133-2018

Date of decision: 26.10.2018

Jeet Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Sunil Garg, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Niharika Gupta, Advocate for  
Mr. Amaninder Preet, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.171 dated 07.12.2017 under Sections 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

While granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, following order was passed on 12.01.2018: -

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and the complainant are real brothers and dispute is with regard to 14 marlas of land, which according to the complainant has been sold by the petitioner in excess of his share.*

*Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Onkar Singh, has submitted that the petitioner is required for*

*investigation as it is to be verified that how much land is owned by him.*

*Learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that the petitioner is now ready to return the amount.*

*The parties are ad idem that this case may be referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for exploring the possibility of settlement.*

*Parties are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 6.2.2018. Investigating Officer also to remain present there on that day.*

*In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to produce the demand draft for a sum of Rs.3.00 lacs in favour of the complainant to show his bona fide.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Balwant Singh, and assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 12.01.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

**[ ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN ]**  
**JUDGE**

26.10.2018  
*vishnu*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No